## **Document Log Item**

Addressing			
From		То	
"Mabey, Bill" <bmabey@techlawinc.com></bmabey@techlawinc.com>		"Snow, Mary" <msnow@techlawinc.com></msnow@techlawinc.com>	
		James Chang/R9/USEPA/US@EPA	
сс		BCC	
"Balkissoon, Indira" <ibalkisso< td=""><td>on@TechLawInc.com&gt;</td><td></td><td></td></ibalkisso<>	on@TechLawInc.com>		
Description			Form Used: Memo
Subject		Date/Time	
RE: George OU4 FS		°«Ç	
		#s%	
# of Attachments	<b>Total Bytes</b>	NPM	Contributor
0	8,172		
Processing			
Comments			

## Body

## **Document Body**

James,

A point of clarification on Specific Comment 4 regarding the Building

513 Chlordane Soil Contamination: The initial investigation showed a

24,000 ug/kg chlordane concentration in soil. A repeat sampling found

approx 3,000 ug/kg in the area, but did not find the higher concentration. At a subsequent BCT it was agreed that further investigation of this small area was not warranted; since the location

was just outside the building where equipment washout activities could

have occurred I think the 24,000 number could be real, but the Air Force

says the 24,000 number was not confirmed. The area is small and the BCT

agreed that remediation was not necessary. In SC 19 we do ask that the  $\,$ 

AF recognize the value above the residential PRG of 1,600 ug/kg. Because the Building is schedule for demolition, the hassle of defining

an IC may not be worthwhile for this FFS and the followup ROD. Let us

know if you want these comments revised. Bill

----Original Message----

From: Snow, Mary

Sent: Thursday, January 03, 2008 8:30 AM

To: Snow, Mary; 'Chang.James@epamail.epa.gov'

Cc: Mabey, Bill; Balkissoon, Indira

Subject: RE: George OU4 FS

Please forgive me. It is attached this time! -Mary

----Original Message----

From: Snow, Mary

Sent: Wednesday, January 02, 2008 4:45 PM

To: 'Chang.James@epamail.epa.gov' Cc: Mabey, Bill; Balkissoon, Indira

Subject: RE: George OU4 FS

Happy New Year James,

Attached you will find our review of the FFS for OU 4. Please feel free

to call Bill or me if you have any questions or would like to discuss it

further.

Thanks, Mary

----Original Message----

From: Chang.James@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Chang.James@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, November 06, 2007 6:48 AM

To: Mabey, Bill; Balkissoon, Indira; Snow, Mary

Cc: Commisso.Angela@epamail.epa.gov

Subject: George OU4 FS

Mary/Bill,

Please conduct a detailed review of subject FS and give me your inputs

by Dec 26. Since all required removals have been done, and some sites

require ICs, focus your review for following areas:

- The discussion of remedies and determine if they adequately support

the remedial action objectives.

- If FS supports the CERCLA process.
- If FS is adequate documentation for the ROD.

Let me know a week in advance of Dec 26 you're going to have problems

meeting that due date. Thanks.

(See attached file: Draft OU 4 FFS.pdf)

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

James Chang

Phone: 415.972.3193 Fax: 415.947.3526